



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/214,140	04/08/1999	TAKEO KAWASE	P3299B	5881
20178 7:	590 05/19/2004		EXAMINER	
EPSON RESE	EARCH AND DEVELO	TRAN, DZUNG D		
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY DEPT			5 . DDD . HD (DCD
150 RIVER OAKS PARKWAY, SUITE 225			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95134			2633	23
			→ DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/214,140	KAWASE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dzung D Tran	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE	filed 03/31/2004 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>63-71 and 74-79</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>63-71 and 74-79</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 1999</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/214,140

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 63-64, 69-71, 76 and 77-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funke U.S. patent no. 4,987,897 in view of Sakanaka et al. U.S. patent no. 5,680,241.

Regarding claim 63, Funke discloses a system intended for being at least partly implanted into a living body comprising:

a implanted pacemaker for detecting an internal state of a living body and for generating a signal representing the detected state (figure 1, element 10, col. 7, lines 38-54, col. 8, lines 24-62);

a transmitting means for transmitting light (figure 1, element 27, col. 6, lines 28, 34);

a receiving means for receiving and demodulating the light to extract the signal included in the light (figure 1, element 26, col. 6, lines 25, 29); and

a controlling means for receiving the extracted signal (figure 1, elements 11, 12, 13, 15, col. 6, lines 5-14). Funke differs from claim 63 of the present invention in that

Art Unit: 2633

Funke does not specific disclose for transmitting light whose polarization state is modulated on the basis of the signal. Sakanaka discloses an optical communication device comprising: at least one transmitter (figure 16, element 33) modulating a plane of polarization (figure 16, element 35) of laser light, and then emitting a modulation result as a transmission signal (figure 16, column 17, lines 12-27) and at least one receiver (figure 16, element 34) selectively receiving light of a specific polarization state (figure 16, column 17, lines 12-27). At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the transmitter and receiver of Sakanaka in the system of Funke. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this for separating the wavelengths (col. 17, lines 32-35), therefore it reduce the cross talk between channels.

Regarding claim 64, Funke discloses a system intended for being at least partly implanted into a living body comprising:

a controlling means for generating a control signal (figure 1, elements 16, 32, col. 6, lines 40-41);

a transmitting means for transmitting light (figure 1, element 35, col. 6, lines 43-44, 50);

a receiving means for receiving and demodulating the light to extract the control signal included in the light (figure 1, element 34, col. 6, lines 41-41); and

a implanted pacemaker (same as a physiological function assisting means) for assisting a function of a living body on the basis of the control signal (figure 1, element 10, col. 7, lines 38-54, col. 8, lines 24-62). Funke differs from claim 64 of the present

Art Unit: 2633

invention in that Funke does not specific disclose for transmitting light whose polarization state is modulated on the basis of the signal. Sakanaka discloses an optical communication device comprising: at least one transmitter (figure 16, element 33) modulating a plane of polarization (figure 16, element 35) of laser light, and then emitting a modulation result as a transmission signal (figure 16, column 17, lines 12-27) and at least one receiver (figure 16, element 34) selectively receiving light of a specific polarization state (figure 16, column 17, lines 12-27). At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the transmitter and receiver of Sakanaka in the system of Funke. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this for separating the wavelengths (col. 17, lines 32-35), therefore it reduce the cross talk between channels.

Regarding claims 71 and 78, Funke discloses a system intended for being at least partly implanted into a living body comprising: in the physiological function assisting device, a implanted pacemaker for detecting an internal state of a living body and for generating a signal representing the detected state (figure 1, element 11, col. 6, lines 5-14), a first transmitting means for transmitting light (figure 1, element 27, col. 6, lines 28, 34), a first receiving means for receiving and demodulating the light to extract the signal included in the light (figure 1, element 26, col. 6, lines 25, 29), and a controlling means for receiving the extracted signal (figure 1, elements 11, 12, 13, col. 6, lines 5-14); in the controlling device, a controlling means for generating a control signal (figure 1, elements 16, 32, col. 6, lines 40-41), a second transmitting means for transmitting light (figure 1, element 35, col. 6, lines 43-44, 50), a second receiving

means for receiving and demodulating the light to extract the control signal included in the light (figure 1, element 34, col. 6, lines 41-41). Funke differs from claim 71 of the present invention in that Funke does not specific disclose for transmitting light whose polarization state is modulated on the basis of the signal. Sakanaka discloses an optical communication device comprising: at least one transmitter (figure 16, element 33) modulating a plane of polarization (figure 16, element 35) of laser light, and then emitting a modulation result as a transmission signal (figure 16, column 17, lines 12-27) and at least one receiver (figure 16, element 34) selectively receiving light of a specific polarization state (figure 16, column 17, lines 12-27). At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the transmitter and receiver of Sakanaka in the system of Funke. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this for separating the wavelengths (col. 17, lines 32-35), therefore it reduce the cross talk between channels.

Regarding claim 79, Funke further discloses a first transmitting means for transmitting light whose intensity is modulated (abstract, figure 1, element 27, col. 3, lines 40-62, col. 6, lines 28, 34) and a second transmitting means for transmitting light whose intensity is modulated (abstract, figure 1, element 35, col. 3, lines 40-62, col. 6, lines 43-44, 50).

Regarding claims 69, 70, 76 and 77, Funke further discloses in figure 6, a display unit that displays information regarding a living body on the basis of the extracted signal (figure 6, elements 102, 104, col. 10, lines 15-48). Funke also discloses the detector is mounted in the implanted pacemaker (figure 1).

Art Unit: 2633

3. Claims 65-68 and 74-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funke U.S. patent no. 4,987,897 in view of Sakanaka et al. U.S. patent no. 5,680,241 and further in view of Skagerlund U.S. patent no. 5,099,246.

Regarding claims 65-68 and 74-75, as per claims above, Funke and Sakanaka disclose all the limitation except for transmitting means comprises a planar emission laser. Skagerlund discloses a transmitter comprising the laser emitter is planar polarized directly through the radiation source of the laser emitter (col. 1, lines 33-49). At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the planar emission laser of Skagerlund in the system of Funke and Sakanaka. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this for transmitting the polarized light signal in the polarization direction, therefore it reduce the cross talk between channels.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 03/31/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Sakanaka does not disclose **the whole polarization** state is modulated on the basic of a signal in claims 63, 64, 71 and 78. However, in figure 16, Sakanaka clearly discloses the whole transmitted light from transmitter 33 is

Application/Control Number: 09/214,140

Art Unit: 2633

polarization modulated by element 35 and the whole transmitted light from transmitter 36 is polarization modulated by element 38.

Page 7

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-0932.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (703) 305-4729.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Dzung Tran

05/12/2004

JASUN CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600